ANNEX B

Summary of Comments and CSA Responses

This annex summarizes the comment letters and our responses to these comments.

This annex contains the following sections:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Responses to comments received on the Proposed Instrument and the Proposed Companion Policy

1. Introduction

Drafting Suggestions

We received a number of drafting suggestions and comments. While we incorporated many of these suggestions, this annex does not include a summary of all the drafting changes we made.

Categories of comments and single responses

In this annex, we consolidated and summarized the comments and our responses by the general themes of the comments. We have included section references to the Proposed Instrument for convenience.

2. Responses to Comments Received on the Proposed Instrument and the Proposed Companion Policy

General Comments on the Original Materials		
Subject	Comment	Response
General comments	There was widespread support for the general objective of the proposals, with commenters noting that this will enhance investor confidence and improve financial reporting in Canada.	We thank the commenters for their submissions.
General comments	Commenters agreed with the CSA decision to not limit the issuers' ability to disclose different types of measures and to not prescribe industry-specific non-GAAP financial measures.	No change. Fundamental to the CSA's approach to regulating non-GAAP financial measures, non-GAAP ratios, and other financial measures is a disclosure-based regime with an overall goal to improve the

		quality of information provided
		to investors. Due to the
		numerous types of ever-
		evolving financial measures
		disclosed across a range of
		industries, we believe that
		disclosure requirements are
		better suited to respond to
		investor needs for quality
		information. In our view, the
		requirements in the Proposed
		Materials allow investors to
		better analyze different
		financial measures within an
		industry or among different
		industries without the CSA
		limiting or prescribing certain
		measures.
General	A number of commenters raised	The Proposed Materials have
comments	concerns with a lack of consistency	been revised for better
	with international regulators,	alignment with the SEC.
	specifically the U.S. Securities and	
	Exchange Commission (SEC), and	
	perception that there may be a	
	competitive disadvantage.	
General	Commenters expressed the need for	We agree with the comment
comments	a long transition period leading up	and will consider this in
	to the effective date, and that the	determining the effective date
	instrument should be effective for	before a final instrument is
	the beginning of an annual financial	published.
	reporting period to ensure consistent	
	and comparable reporting over	
	periods.	
General	A few commenters suggested that	No change in the fundamental
comments	the CSA could stagger adoption	approach to regulate both non-
	dates to reduce implementation	GAAP financial measures, non-
	burden with different documents.	GAAP ratios, and other
	For example, the CSA could replace	financial measures. Based on
	CSA Staff Notice 52-306 (Revised)	the CSA's experience, other
	Non-GAAP Financial Measures (SN	financial measures may be
	52-306) with a rule for non-GAAP	equally problematic if not
	financial measures only, and delay	accompanied by appropriate
	disclosure requirements for other	disclosure. This approach is
	financial measures.	consistent with other
		international regulators,
		including the SEC.

		Refer to above comment regarding the need for a long transition period.
General comments	A few commenters expressed the emphasis on the CSA reducing regulatory burden strategic initiative and that the CSA should consider whether there is an alternative approach to achieve the CSA's objective.	As part of developing the Proposed Materials, we considered a number of alternatives to address stakeholder concerns regarding the quality of disclosures surrounding non-GAAP financial measures, non-GAAP ratios, and other financial measures, including careful consideration of updating SN 52-306 instead or developing other forms of staff guidance to supplement. Based on this work, we concluded that development of the Proposed Materials would be more effective in addressing the significant stakeholder concerns regarding quality disclosures. We also considered and agree with certain commenter responses who expressed that the Proposed Materials provide more guidance and less uncertainty regarding an issuer's disclosure obligations. To address concerns regarding regulatory burden, we have significantly revised the Proposed Materials, reducing the application of the Proposed
		Materials and disclosure requirements.
General comments	A few commenters expressed the need for the CSA to clarify that disclosures of non-GAAP financial	Companion Policy 52-109CP to National Instrument 52-109 Certification of Disclosure in
	measures and other financial measures are within the scope of National Instrument 52-109 <i>Certification of Disclosure in</i>	<i>Issuers' Annual and Interim</i> <i>Filings</i> (52-109CP) states that the forms included in NI 52-109 require each certifying officer

	<i>Issuers' Annual and Interim Filings</i> (NI 52-109), and that the CSA should encourage issuers to establish a written disclosure policy in consideration of National Policy 51-201 <i>Disclosure Standards</i> (NP 51-201). One commenter recommended adding specific disclosure requirements regarding internal controls over non-GAAP financial measures.	to certify that an issuer's financial statements and other financial information (which includes non-GAAP financial measures, capital management measures, total of segments measures and supplementary financial measures) included in the annual or interim filings fairly present, in all material respects, the financial condition, financial performance and cash flows of the issuer, as of the date and for the periods presented. In addition, both section 6.8 of 52- 109CP and part 6 of NP 51-201 provide guidance to assist an issuer with the adoption of good disclosure practices.
General comments	A number of commenters expressed the need for application guidance.	We agree with the comment and have provided more application guidance in the Proposed Companion Policy.
General comments	Some commenters expressed that specific regulation on non-financial measures or operational measures should be considered.	Non-financial measures and financial measures that do not meet one of the defined terms are excluded from the scope of the Proposed Materials, although disclosures are subject to provisions in applicable securities legislation which, among other things, prohibits misleading statements. We caution against the general statement that operating measures are not within the scope of the Proposed Instrument, as certain measures may meet one of the defined terms within the Proposed Instrument.
General comments	Some commenters expressed the view that the CSA should monitor the use of information outside the	We thank the commenters for their submissions. The use of non-GAAP financial measures

	C ^{•••••} 1 1 1 1 1 1	, , 1 1
	financial statements and whether it is in the public interest for the	continues to evolve, and we are actively monitoring
	credibility of this information to be	developments in this area.
	enhanced by independent assurance.	·
General	One commenter expressed that	No change. Disclosure
comments	disclosure requirements should be	requirements have been scaled
	the same for all financial measures.	to address specifically
		identified concerns.
General	Some commenters suggested	We note that IASB project is
comments	delaying the Proposed Materials to	still in the early stages of
	allow the CSA to consider how the	development. We are aware of
	proposals interact with other	the project and are monitoring developments. If necessary in
	initiatives, including the International Accounting Standards	the future, we may update the
	Board's (IASB) various projects	Proposed Materials (or other
	under its headline theme "Better	securities legislative
	Communication in Financial	requirements) to respond to
	Reporting".	these and other marketplace
	1 0	changes (if any).
General	A few commenters suggested that	The Proposed Materials
comments	requiring additional disclosures of	explicitly exclude the financial
	GAAP measures when disclosed	measures that are presented or
	outside the financial statements	disclosed in the financial
	(total of segments measures and	statements, such as total of
	capital management measures) may	segments measures or capital
	create confusion or a perception that the CSA considers these measures	management measures, from the definition of a non-GAAP
	non-GAAP. One commenter	financial measure. Disclosure
	encouraged the CSA to be more	requirements under the
	explicit by indicating that the	Proposed Materials are intended
	Proposed Materials are not intended	to ensure that when these
	to suggest that segment and capital	measures are disclosed outside
	management measures are non-	the financial statements, that
	GAAP.	investors and other users
		appreciate the context.
General	Many commenters expressed desire	Change made. We thank
comments	to cross-reference between	commenters for the suggestions
	documents for compliance with the	on how to implement a cross-
	Proposed Materials.	referencing framework. We
		agree that a form of cross-
		referencing would be a beneficial feature of the
		Proposed Materials. Refer to
		section 5 of Proposed Materials.
		section of or responded materials.

Part 1 – Definitions		
s. 1	We received a significant number of comments regarding the proposed definitions, and how those definitions in the Original Materials may capture more financial measures than desired.	Changes made. Defined terms have been revised. We have also expanded examples provided within the Proposed Companion Policy.
Part 1 – Appli		
General comments	Commenters generally noted that the Original Materials are overly broad, and it was unclear on the policy rationale for why new disclosure-related requirements should be applied to issuers who are not otherwise subject to obligations of continuous disclosure. One commenter recommended that the Proposed Materials should apply to reporting issuers, and non-reporting issuers that disseminate non-GAAP financial measures in the context of securities distribution.	Change made. Part 1 has been revised.
s. 2	Several commenters submitted that investment funds subject to National Instrument 81-106 <i>Investment Fund</i> <i>Continuous Disclosure</i> (NI 81-106) should be excluded on the basis that there are no specific concerns raised on non-GAAP financial measures used by investment funds, and investors understand and are accustomed to disclosures currently provided under NI 81-106.	Change made. See s. 4(a)
s. 2(1)	Commenters generally expressed that the SEC foreign issuer exemption is appropriate. A number of commenters also recommended that the same exemption should apply to Canadian SEC issuers. A few commenters also questioned the appropriateness of exempting	No change made. The exemption for SEC foreign issuers is consistent, and based on similar rationale, to other exemptions provided to these issuers under current Canadian securities legislation.
	SEC foreign issuers on the basis that different information presented for	

	Constinuing of 1 SEC form	
	Canadian issuers and SEC foreign	
	issuers will reduce comparability of	
	information provided.	
s. 2(1)	Some commenters expressed	Refer to s. 4(b) in the Proposed
	confusion as to what constitutes an	Companion Policy.
	SEC foreign issuer, and whether it	Clarification regarding
	applies to Canadian "foreign private	application made.
	issuers" as that term is defined	
	under SEC rules and regulations.	
s. 2(1)	A few commenters recommended	Change made.
	that the exemption for SEC foreign	
	issuers be expanded to also included	
	designated foreign issuers.	
Application	A number of commenters requested	We thank commenters for their
to executive	for clarification on how the	views. Non-GAAP financial
compensation	Proposed Materials relate to	measures are used for a variety
	executive compensation disclosure.	of purposes and we did not see
	While some commenters provided a	the policy rationale specific to
	strong recommendation that	executive compensation that
	executive compensation disclosure	should be different than other
	be added to the explicit list of	uses of non-GAAP financial
	documents included in the Proposed	measures.
	Materials and we should increase	
	disclosure requirements for these	
	specific measures, we heard	
	contrary views that executive	
	compensation should be excluded.	
Application	Commenters provided mixed views	Change made. We are limiting
to documents	on the application to documents	the scope of the Proposed
	made available to the public in the	Materials for non-reporting
	local jurisdiction. While we received	issuers to specific documents.
	support for this, we also received	However, we have retained the
	comments that the Proposed	scope for reporting issuers and
	Materials should be more limited to	instead excluded certain
	documents that are intended to be	disclosures required under
	used by the investment and/or	specific securities legislation as
	analyst community.	well as disclosures in certain
		filings.
Application	Commenters requested clarity in	Change made.
to documents	defining what constitutes a	
	"document".	
Application	Commenters requested clarity in the	We note that "made available to
to documents	term "made available to the public".	the public" is a common
	They questioned whether the	concept used in securities
	concept noted in NP 51-201	legislation. For example, a
	regarding dissemination broadly to	document filed electronically in
	regarding dissemination broadily to	

Application	the investing public (s. 1.1(1)) may be a more appropriate standard.	accordance with National Instrument 13-101 System for Electronic Document Analysis and Retrieval (SEDAR) may be accessible to the public. National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (NI 43-101) uses "made available to the public" in the definition of "disclosure". Another example is in National Instrument 51- 102 Continuous Disclosure Obligations (NI 51-102) where the term "public" is used in relation to proxy solicitation. In addition, the term "public" is used throughout NP 51-201.
Application to non- reporting issuers	Three commenters suggested that offering memorandums whose form is not prescribed by regulation should be excluded from the Proposed Materials on the policy basis that these offering memorandums are prepared on a voluntary basis, and the prospectus exemption upon which issuers rely is not based on the information the investors received, but on the investors' sophistication. Issuers are already careful to ensure offering memorandums do not contain a	Change not made. The Proposed Materials will apply to disclosures made by an issuer in a document that is filed with a securities regulatory authority in reliance on the offering memorandum exemption. There is a policy decision that non- GAAP financial measures, non- GAAP ratios, and other financial measures contained in documents being used to raise capital are included within the scope of the Proposed
Application to an issuer's own financial results	misrepresentation. One commenter suggested that the Proposed Materials should be limited in scope to disclosure of the issuer's own financial results. The commenter raised the concern that an issuer may have difficulties in complying with the Proposed Materials, for example, when disclosing financial measures of an acquisition target's financial results.	Materials. Change not made. The Proposed Materials are applicable to all disclosure of non-GAAP financial measures, non-GAAP ratios and other financial measures within documents as set out in the Application section. The concern is noted. However, disclosure of non-GAAP financial measures, non-GAAP ratios, and other financial measures is voluntary, and we

		did not see sufficient policy
		rationale to exclude these types
		of financial measures provided
		by an issuer in their documents.
Application	One commenter expressed concern	Change made.
to oil and gas	for how disclosures of measures	
activities	within National Instrument 51-101	
	Standards of Disclosure for Oil and	
	Gas Activities will be in scope of the	
	Proposed Materials.	
s. 2(2)	A few commenters requested clarity	The term "specific financial
	on the term "specific financial	measures" has been removed
	measures", and provided	and replaced with a broader
	recommendations to expand the	category of financial measures
	types of specific financial measures	that are excluded from the
	that are excluded from the scope of	scope of the Proposed
	the Proposed Materials.	Materials.
s. 2(2)	The majority of commenters	We thank the commenters for
5. 2(2)		
	expressed that oral statements	their submissions. We agree
	should be excluded from the scope,	with our initial policy decision
	including transcripts of oral	to exclude oral statements from
	statements.	scope, and have explicitly
		excluded transcripts of oral
	We also received one conflicting	statements from scope. We
	comment that oral statements should	remind issuers of the securities
	be covered when these are relied	legislation requirements not to
	upon for investment or voting	disclose misleading
	decisions.	information.
s. 2	One commenter suggested that	Change made.
	third-party valuation reports	
	prepared by a third party firm	
	excluded from the Proposed	
	Materials.	
Part 2 – Disclo	osure Requirements for Non-GAAP I	Financial Measures
General	A few commenters suggested	We thank commenters for their
comments	additional disclosure requirements	submissions. We agree with our
	for non-GAAP financial measures,	initial policy decision to not
	including specific labelling	prescribe specific labelling
	requirements (e.g. requiring the use	requirements, and consider that
	of specific descriptors or	the cautionary language in s.
	terminology), and more explicit	6(e)(ii) provides sufficient
	cautionary statements.	information to investors that
		non-GAAP financial measures
		do not have standardized
		meaning.

s. 3(b)	Commenters provided mixed views on the prominence requirements. While a few noted that the Proposed Materials should be consistent with the SEC rules and regulations on non-GAAP financial measures, other commenters expressed that the Proposed Materials are too prescriptive.	Change not made. We thank commenters for their submissions. Prominence is a concern of regulators.
s. 3(c)	A few commenters requested clarity on disclosure of comparative period financial measures. A few commenters requested that the Proposed Materials should contain language exempting this requirement when it is impracticable to present a comparative period.	Change made, including additional clarifying language in the Proposed Companion Policy.
s.3(d)(iii), 3(d)(iv)	Some commenters expressed concerns over the term "reasonable person", and questioned how this standard will affect expectations on issuers' compliance with disclosure obligations.	We thank commenters for their submissions. The term "reasonable person" has been removed in relation to providing useful information and has been changed to investor, although it has been retained in relation to providing a quantitative reconciliation in s. 6(e)(v). Clarifying language has been included in the Proposed Companion Policy.
s. 3(d)(iv)	Two commenters suggested there was overlap in the requirements to provide a quantitative reconciliation that is disaggregated in such a way that it provides a reasonable person an understanding of the reconciling items, and explained in such a way that it provides a reasonable person an understanding of each reconciling item.	Change made. We clarified that s. $6(e)(v)(A)$ is in regards to the quantitative reconciliation, and (B) is in regards to the narrative accompanying the reconciling items.
s. 3(d)(iv)	One commenter suggested that the most directly comparable financial measure for the purposes of providing a quantitative reconciliation could be to a financial measure within the notes to the financial statements, instead of only	Change not made. We thank the commenter for the suggestion, but confirm the policy decision that the most comparable financial measure is to a financial measure within the primary financial statements.

	to a management of in the	The notes to the financial
	to a measure presented in the	statements are intended to
	primary financial statements.	
		provide further information
		regarding financial measures in
		the primary financial
		statements, and we do not
		consider this requirement
		difficult to comply with.
s. 3	One commenter recommended to	Change not made. We thank the
	include further disclosure	commenter for the suggestion.
	requirements if a non-GAAP	The disclosure requirements
	measure reported by an issuer ceases	within section 6 should provide
	to be reported, and that the issuer	sufficient information when
	provide disclosure allowing users to	there are new or changed non-
	understand why the basis for	GAAP financial measures.
	reporting a non-GAAP financial	
	measure has changed.	
Part 2 - Discl	osure Requirements for Non-GAAP I	Financial Measures that are
Ratios	osure requirements for rom Grant	manetal tricasules that are
General	A number of commenters	Change made. We have revised
comments	highlighted the inconsistency with	the framework for ratios which
	the SEC.	will typically be either a non-
		GAAP ratio or supplementary
		financial measure, and we have
		reduced the disclosure
		requirements for both.
Part 2 Disal	osures Requirements for Non-GAAP	· ·
Financial Out		Financial Weasures that are
General	A number of commenters suggested	Changes made. We thank
comments	that the proposed disclosure	commenters for their
Comments	requirements for non-GAAP	suggestions. We have made
	financial measures that are forward-	changes to the disclosure
	looking information are complex	requirements under section 7,
	and questioned the usefulness of	including a reduction in
	certain disclosure requirements.	disclosure requirements.
Part 2 Disal	osure Requirements for Segment Mea	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
General	One commenter noted that "total of	We have added guidance in the
comments	segment measures" are considered	Companion Policy that SEC
comments	non-GAAP financial measures	issuers may refer to such
	under SEC rules and regulations for	measures as non-GAAP
	non-GAAP financial measures	financial measures and provide,
		· · ·
	(Regulation G and Item 10(e) of	at minimum, the associated
	Regulation S-K) but are defined as	disclosures required in section
	"total of segments measure" under	9.
	the Proposed Materials. Given the	
1	different classification under the two	

General	jurisdictions, the commenter was concerned about compliance of dual-listed reporting issuers. Some commenters suggested that if information on total of segments	We thank commenters for their suggestion. The proposed
	measures are provided within the financial statements, this disclosure need not be repeated in documents outside the financial statements.	disclosures ensure readers appreciate the context of total of segments measures when these measures are disclosed outside the financial statements.
General comments	Some commenters requested clarity on the what constitutes a "segment" in comparison to a "reportable segment".	Change made.
General comments	One commenter suggested that the requirement to disclose a comparative measure should be removed.	We thank the commenter for their suggestion. The disclosure requirement provides that if the total of segments measure has been previously disclosed in the comparative period, then in the current period, both must be disclosed for comparability.
Part 2 – Discl	osure Requirements for Capital Man	
General comments	Some commenters suggested that if information on capital management measures is provided within the financial statements, this disclosure need not be repeated in documents outside the financial statements.	Change made. We thank commenters for their suggestion. Issuers may include disclosure requirements under the Proposed Materials within the notes to the financial statements for compliance.
s. 7(2)(b)(iv)	Two commenters suggested that more guidance be provided on the level of detail expected for the quantitative reconciliation requirement.	Change made. Additional clarifying language has been included within the Proposed Companion Policy.
s. 7(2)(b)(iv)	One commenter suggested eliminating the quantitative reconciliation requirement for capital management measures that are ratios, as generally it is difficult to identify the most directly comparable financial measure presented in the primary financial statements.	Change made.

Part 2 – Disclosure Requirements for Supplementary Financial Measures		
General	Commenters provided mixed views	We thank commenters for their
comments	on disclosure requirements. Some	suggestions. We maintain the
	commenters were of the view that	policy decision to require
	there should be additional disclosure	certain disclosures when
	requirements, while other	supplementary financial
	commenters disagreed with	measures are disclosed.
	including disclosure requirements	However, the disclosure
	for supplementary financial	requirements have been scaled
	measures.	to address specific risks.
		Transparency around the
		composition of these measures
		is the primary concern we
		identified, which is addressed in
		the Proposed Materials.
General	One commenter raised questions on	Change made. The disclosure
comments	the requirement within the Original	requirement has been removed.
	Materials to explain the reason for	
	the change in label, composition and	
	calculation and whether this is	
	useful information.	
General	One commenter recommended	Change not made. It is outside
comments	disclosure requirements for	the scope of the project to set
	additional subtotals and totals within	requirements for financial
	the financial statements.	statement disclosures.